

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/721,884		GANSNER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala		2164	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala

(2) Henry T. Brendel, Reg. No. 26,844

**Date of Interview:** 16 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*N/A*

Claims discussed:

*1, 24 and 28*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Brown (US Patent 6,473,080), Lakritz (US Patent 6,526,426) and Sattar (US Patent 6,154,728).*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 10:00 am

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant Representative for compact prosecution and suggested to combine newly added claims 18 and 24 and to cancel claim 24 as well as claim 28. Applicant agreed and authorized for an Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution.